40. That rough pattahs should contain full particulars of holdings.

Mr. Punnoose Chacko (Todupuzha) requested that fuller particulars of properties might be ordered to be entered in the rough pattahs than was the practice at present.

Reply.—Rough pattahs in the form now fixed contain all the necessary particulars. If more information is wanted, parties may apply for and get copies of chittas.

41. Investment of Tahsildars with power to make corrections in the pattahs issued by the Settlement department.

Mr. N. Padmanabha Pillai (Chengannur) represented that there were several patent errors in the pattahs now issued by the Settlement department and parties could be saved the trouble of seeking remedy in civil courts, if Tahsildars were invested with the power of making corrections in the same.

Mr. K. Kochu Thomman (Chengannur) spoke in support.

Reply.—The pattahs issued by the Settlement department are final until there is change of ownership, in which case, the necessary transfers of registry will be effected by the Tahsildars. The Revenue authorities cannot be authorised to make any correction in the pattahs as proposed. Government do not think any alteration in the existing practice is called for.

42. Grant of pattahs to jenmies and not kudiyans in respect of Ayacut and other properties.

Mr. Narayanan Jatavedar Namburipad (Muvattupuzha) said that for all kanapattom and other lands belonging to jenmies, pattahs should be granted in their names only.

Reply.—Government do not think it desirable to alter the existing practice as it will give rise to numerous difficulties.

43. Certain irregularities in the Nanjanad settlement operations.

Mr R. Nambi Iyer (Tovala) brought forward this subject.

Reply.—The subject is vague. It is noted that the settlement of Nanjanad was completed years ago.

44. Certain defects in the new settlement and the necessity for some amendments in the existing procedure.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly